

NOAA SCIENCE ADVISORY BOARD

2018-2019 Work Plan

INTRODUCTION

In 2016, the NOAA Science Advisory Board (SAB) developed a Concept of Operations. As part of this document, the SAB and NOAA agreed that every two years, in conjunction with the renewal of the SAB Charter, a work plan should be developed, based on the agency's mission and priorities and grounded in research and development plans of the agency, line offices, and program levels, as appropriate. This plan is the first such biennial work plan to be developed.

NOAA MISSION

Science, Service and Stewardship

1. To understand and predict changes in climate, weather, oceans and coasts;
2. To share that knowledge and information with others; and
3. To conserve and manage coastal and marine ecosystems and resources.

NOAA PRIORITIES

1. Reduce the impacts of extreme weather and water events
2. Increase the sustainable economic contributions of our fisheries and oceans (blue economy)

SAB ROLE

The SAB may serve different roles in providing advice to NOAA.

- It may serve as a “think tank” to give high-level thoughts on “blue sky” or “over the horizon” topics that are just emerging in science or society.
- It may delve into specific topics that cut across all or much of the agency and require in-depth consideration.
- It may react quickly to issues that come up on an *ad hoc* basis and due to unforeseen circumstances.

Each of these situations may require different interactions with NOAA, use of different member structures and processes, and varying types of “products” to address them. This work plan covers short-term topics of interest and several that will require more in-depth analysis over the next 18 months. Issues that arise in the third (*ad hoc*) category will be addressed as they arise.

The SAB, in the past, created working groups (WGs) consisting of additional experts to address ongoing issues (standing WGs) and short-term questions raised by NOAA (*ad hoc* task forces). The SAB Work Plan is not intended to preclude such options, but they should be used to enhance the ability of the SAB to provide NOAA with the best advice possible. The SAB may determine that delivery of some elements of the work plan may best be generated by a WG, or significantly informed by inputs from WGs. For example, work proposed by the Environmental Information Services Working Group (EISWG) under Priority 1 illustrates this sort of approach.

PROCESS

To develop a set of potential projects, the SAB convened two subgroups, one for each priority area, to further develop work plan options for those priority areas. They reviewed the draft document, refined, revised and/or added to those topics identified in each of the two priority areas, reflecting on NOAA priorities, topics raised by members, and ideas coming from SAB working groups. The subgroup(s) formulated a set of potential projects for the SAB with appropriate timeframes, level and types of expertise needed, and a notional plan for addressing each one

Topics discussed with RDML Tim Gallaudet included ocean exploration, the water cycle, aquaculture, autonomous systems, and decision support, but there could be others within the context of the NOAA priorities. These topics were considered for the draft of the SAB Work Plan discussed at the April 2018 SAB meeting.

Subsequent to the SAB meeting in April, the SAB subgroups and staff made changes to the language based on discussions. Two of the SAB working groups, the Ecosystem Sciences and Management Working Group and the Climate Working Group, also reviewed the draft Work Plan at their meetings and provided input and suggested areas where they might contribute. This version of the Work Plan reflects discussions by NOAA leadership and SAB members at the April SAB meeting and additional discussions on a number of topics since that meeting.

The SAB discussed the Work Plan with NOAA leadership in its webinar meeting on May 24, 2018. As a result of that discussion, the SAB agreed that advice to NOAA on all topics should include the following:

- Address the potential of public-private partnerships where the definition of private partnerships includes industry, academia and non-governmental and inter-governmental organizations.
- Specific recommendations for what NOAA should change or add in the future rather than making recommendations that the agency should continue what it is already doing.

PRIORITY 1: REDUCE THE IMPACTS OF EXTREME WEATHER AND WATER EVENTS.

Topic 1: Oversee Development and Implementation of the Environmental Information Services Working Group Work (list from WRFIA):

The Environmental Information and Science Working Group was established by the SAB in 2009 and made permanent by provisions in the Weather Research and Forecasting Improvement Act of 2017 (Public Law 115-25), hereafter referred to as WRFIA. The primary task of the WRFIA is to reduce the impacts of extreme weather and water events to save lives and protect property. The WRFIA specifies certain activities where the EISWG is to advise and assist NOAA line offices in meeting the terms of the Act. These include:

- 1) To prioritize weather and climate research and development initiatives in NOAA
- 2) To advise on existing or emerging technologies from the private sector and academia for better monitoring and analysis of weather and climate events and improved weather and climate forecasting:
 - o Earth system (ES) modeling. ES prediction capability, coupling, data assimilation, computation. Elements of modeling. Current focus on the dynamic core: what should the priorities be after that? What is the next missing key element(s) (e.g., different physics implementations)?
 - o Observing component: how to apply new technologies (such as improved data assimilation) for a more comprehensive and cost-effective local, regional, national, and global weather and climate monitoring system; advise enhanced communication between NOAA weather forecasters and decision makers at national, state, and local levels, e.g., city and county emergency managers.
- 3) To enable improved communication among NOAA, the private sector, and academia.

The EISWG is discussing these topics with the National Weather Service (NWS), Ocean and Atmospheric Research (OAR), and National Environmental Satellite, Data and Information Service (NESDIS). The NWS has asked for advice on how to include the academic and commercial weather communities to address the challenges of improving weather and climate monitoring, analysis, and forecasting and the innovations needed for such improvements. The EISWG is prepared to respond to OAR's call for advice on how better to position itself to prioritize its research and development efforts in this context. Because this is such a large and potentially daunting task, the EISWG has asked the line offices to provide it with their best options to which the WG can react in a timely and specific way.

In addition to the above, the EISWG is responsible for assisting NOAA (via reports and recommendations passed through the SAB) with reviewing and updating policies for interacting with the private sector (i.e., the partnership policy).

Oversight of the EISWG's work is an ongoing high-priority activity. The SAB will help implement this work by supplementing the SAB liaison with additional members of the SAB who will meet with the EISWG co-chairs on a regular basis by teleconference/webinar. In addition, EISWG will provide verbal updates on its activities at in-person SAB meetings.

SAB Champions—Bob Winokur and Everette Joseph

Topic 2: Review the Use of Observing System Simulation Experiments (OSSEs)

An OSSE is a modeling experiment used to evaluate the impact of new observing systems on operational forecasts when actual observational data are not available. OSSEs are done: 1) to find out if a new observing system will add value to NWP analyses and forecasts; 2) to make design decisions for a new observing system; and 3) to investigate the behavior of data assimilation systems in an environment where the system's behavior is known (paraphrased from Prive & Errico PPT, 2015). NOAA uses OSSEs in many of its programs for a number of purposes related to its observing and modeling activities.

The EISWG will discuss this topic at their July 2018 meeting. The Climate Working Group has also expressed interest in this topic; 1-2 of its members may be willing to join the effort.

SAB Champion: Eugenia Kalnay

Topic 3: Enhance Strategic Investment and Use of Unmanned and Autonomous Systems

NOAA has a broad set of observing requirements to address its operational and research missions. Unmanned and autonomous systems (exclusive of satellites) have become an important element in augmenting traditional approaches to collecting environmental data, including weather, coastal, marine and polar observations. Underwater, surface and aerial versions of these systems have already been incorporated into many of NOAA's observing systems. The recent expansion and application of these systems and their sensor payloads have been particularly successful in addressing various NOAA Earth System and Weather Prediction observational needs. For example, unmanned aerial systems, such as the Global Hawk, have been used to collect data to support forecasting of high-impact weather and respond to natural and environmental disasters.

NOAA has been a leader in the application of unmanned systems and technologies in challenging environments: however, NOAA would benefit from an overall strategy for the cross-cutting use of unmanned systems. While there are numerous important applications of autonomous systems across the agency, it is not clear there is a coherent agency-wide rationale for investments, priorities and partnerships in these systems. Each line office has its own rationale and specific priorities for investments in unmanned systems. While these investments have been important and have addressed key needs in each line office, an overall strategy could further enhance investments and applications of unmanned/autonomous systems and could identify efficiencies and eliminate redundancies, especially in times of constrained funding. As an example, a subset of an overall strategy could be the enhanced application of unmanned aerial systems for data collection and observations related to forecasts of high-impact weather, which could be an element of an observing system strategy identified in the WRFIA. There are numerous other applications in the marine and polar environments as well.

There will be a NOAA workshop on this topic in Fall 2018. The Executive Oversight Board is interested in having SAB advice on the effort.

SAB Champion: Bob Winokur

Topic 4: Review Improving Collection, Management, Dissemination and Decision Support Using Machine Learning, Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Data Science

While NOAA has expanded its observing and prediction systems, it also needs to continue improving its ways of collecting, managing, and disseminating data to respond to changing science and technology. These data are generated from the satellites and the variety of platforms and sensors already deployed and from new sources, including the analyses of physical samples, e.g., ‘omics, and from social science sources such as analysis of economic and human decision-making behavior. NOAA needs to explore ways of integrating these data. In addition, NOAA needs to explore more use of: 1) citizen science to enhance a shrinking workforce and to vastly enhance possibilities of expanding data (consider eBird operated by the Cornell Lab of Ornithology; its activities have vastly expanded data points on bird locations, numbers, migration patterns, etc. beyond anything that any workforce—public or academic—could ever provide) and; 2) advanced analytics, e.g., machine learning and AI techniques, to increase the speed and efficiency of processing the data. Technology drivers include distributed computing trends, cloud technologies, and new computing architectures. More broadly, NOAA should also pay attention to the emerging discipline of data science and its applications to domains of interest relevant to NOAA. These considerations will apply across both of NOAA’s priorities.

With all of the recent advances in machine learning and AI, it is timely to review whether and how these technologies can help NOAA when creating tools for decision makers in the fisheries, ecosystems, climate, weather, and other oceanic and atmospheric discipline areas. What kinds of research should NOAA undertake to be able to incorporate machine learning into its decision-support services and tools? What kinds of data, data sets, and technology are needed for NOAA to engage with machine learning tools? An example of the relevance of this type of technology is the Weather Company, an IBM business, and the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), which recently formed a partnership to enhance the weather and climate prediction capabilities of IBM's Watson. More broadly, if data gathering and analysis about fisheries, ecosystems, climate, weather, and other oceanic and atmospheric information are thought of as *environmental intelligence*, how can data science, machine learning and AI advance NOAA's production and delivery of environmental intelligence to improve public-sector management and private-sector decision-making and result in improved outcomes for the public?

SAB Champions: Bob Grossman and Chris Lenhardt

Topic 5: Social Sciences and Decision Support in NOAA (relevant both to Priorities 1 & 2)

In July 2015, the NOAA Social Science Committee released *Vision and Strategy: Supporting NOAA's Mission with Social Science*, which set the agency's social science vision and strategy for the next 3-5 years. The document provides opportunities to align office and program efforts with the goals, objectives, and strategies outlined within. Some examples of NOAA social science programs aimed at presenting NOAA data and information tools in a usable format to both private and public partners include: Weather-Ready Nation Ambassadors Program; Impact-Based Decision Support Services (IDSS); SKYWARN Storm Spotter Program; Storm Surge Program; and the Climate Program Office Communication and Education Program (CommEd).

Given all of NOAA's Social Science programs, what criteria does NOAA use to evaluate these programs to make sure each program meets the Social Science Vision and Strategy goal to strengthen societal decision-making? What, if any, goals are missing from the initial three goals set by NOAA? How can NOAA evaluate each goal? Are there areas of social science not addressed in the document? The SAB can review one of NOAA's Social Science programs to see how it meets the goals set forth by the Social Science Vision and Strategy document. The SAB can also provide comments for consideration in the next iteration of the *Vision and Strategy: Supporting NOAA's Mission with Social Science* document.

- *Assess NOAA Social Sciences Projects* (from Monica Grasso and NOAA Social Sciences Committee).

Per the request from the NOAA Chief Economist Monica Grasso at the SAB meeting in October 2017, the SAB will review these projects and provide comments. (Timeframe determined by completion of reports but likely 3-6 months after that).

- 1) Cooperative Research and Development Agreements (CRADA) Economic Impact Study
- 2) Economic Value of Marine Vessel Observations
- 3) Economic Impact of Space Weather
 - o *Enhance Use of Decision Support Products, Processes, and Tools for NOAA Social Science Projects*

The SAB can recommend what science is needed in order to enhance, develop, and facilitate effective use of the basic products; create a robust process for working with academia, the commercial sectors, and others to develop what is needed; engage with decision support tool users and related stakeholders to identify needs, test products and iterate on tools and systems; and develop an evaluation protocol that is embedded as an ongoing process within the spiral creation of the products and tools. Specific projects could include machine learning and working with the Social Sciences Committee to evaluate their progress on the Mission and Strategic Vision. Enhancing the relevance and utility of decision support tools involves technical, scientific, and communications expertise, as well as understanding the processes and ways in which users frame problems, define needs and use information.

SAB Champions: Lynn Scarlett and Richard Moss

PRIORITY 2: INCREASE THE SUSTAINABLE ECONOMIC CONTRIBUTIONS OF OUR FISHERY AND OCEAN RESOURCES (BLUE ECONOMY)

I. Overview

A comprehensive understanding of the ecological and economic contributions of our Nation's coasts and oceans goes beyond extraction-focused considerations and beyond the six sectors typically used in assessments, such as that of the U.S. ocean and Great Lakes economies, which include living resources; marine construction; marine transportation; offshore mineral extraction; ship and boat building; and tourism and recreation. For example, beyond these six sectors, coastal ecological systems contribute to wave attenuation, reducing impacts to communities from extreme storms and serving as a form of coastal protection infrastructure. Currently, NOAA's Office for Coastal Management, using data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics and Bureau of Economic Analysis, annually values the ocean economy with "Economics: NOAA Ocean Watch (ENOW)", an online tool used to streamline the task of obtaining and comparing economic data, both county and national, for these six sectors dependent on the ocean and Great Lakes. ENOW's annual time-series data are produced for 400 coastal counties, 30 coastal states, eight regions, and the nation. Many relevant tools are in place to track economic contributions – an important context for NOAA's work to see increases in this area. While many tools are available to assess economic contributions (monetized or otherwise), a broadening beyond the six sectors

described above of the ecological and economic contributions of coastal and ocean systems is altering concepts of what constitutes the Blue Economy.

II. Science Advisory Board Focus

The SAB will develop science-based concepts and recommendations to support NOAA in the enhancement of the ecological and economic contributions of coastal and ocean resources and coastal communities and their sustainability by focusing on several critical, related areas: 1) coastal and marine aquaculture; 2) how healthy ecosystems support long-term economic resilience, and 3) coastal and marine transportation and support infrastructure, including working waterfronts. In so doing, the SAB will help answer such questions as “How might NOAA research best support the industries reliant on a sustainable fishery?”, “What scientific research can NOAA undertake to support sustainable development of marine aquaculture?”, “How can natural system-dependent economic activities be sustained and enhanced as coastal areas change over time?”, and “What can NOAA provide to support marine transportation needs to ensure sustainable coastal communities?”

Topic 6: Sustainable Marine Aquaculture

The SAB will develop guidance on the most effective deployment of NOAA’s science enterprise investments for science and research that supports increasing aquaculture production in the U.S.

Increasing aquaculture production is a priority of the Secretary of Commerce. It has great growth potential, contributes to seafood supply and food security, and may improve the U.S. trade balance with other nations. The Department of Commerce Strategic Plan identifies the need to support research to advance marine aquaculture. In addressing this goal, the SAB will collaborate with a sister federal advisory committee, NOAA’s Marine Fisheries Advisory Committee (MAFAC), that has worked on aquaculture issues for many years. MAFAC established an Aquaculture Task Force (ATF) composed of experts from around the nation, to provide advice on the Gulf Aquaculture Fisheries Management Plan, streamline permit application processes, and advise as to how aquaculture operations can support coastal resilience.

The SAB seeks baseline information on US marine aquaculture science and research. At the July SAB Meeting in New Hampshire, the SAB will receive presentations from NOAA scientists, managers and external experts on the status of marine aquaculture science and research, particularly related to production constraints and social acceptance of aquaculture products. The SAB will partner with MAFAC and engage its ATF experts for the external (industry and stakeholder) science perspective. The ATF experts will also offer their opinions on the most effective deployment of limited research dollars for intramural and extramural projects. The NOAA perspective will be provided by the NMFS Office of Aquaculture and OAR’s National Sea Grant Program.

SAB will convene a task force to develop a guidance document for NOAA's enterprise investments to address future science and technology needs that support informed science-based decision-making and help advance aquaculture.

Projected time line: ATF presentation within 3 months, report delivery within 6 months.

SAB Champion: Bob Rheault

Proposed Topic 7: *Evaluating NOAA Coastal Sciences.*

(Replaces previous topic Science to Support the Blue Economy: Healthy Ecosystems and Future Economic Resilience)

The SAB will evaluate the optimal realignment of NOAA's organization and functions across each of the Line Offices, or a subset of them, to reduce redundancy in coastal sciences.

Decision on and details of scientific areas to be included and method for this evaluation will be discussed during the SAB July 17-18, 2018 meeting.

Detail provided to the SAB by RDML Gallaudet who proposed the work topic:

“Note: The SAB can scope this topic appropriately to make sure that it will add value and not be duplicative of past evaluations but rather leverages those efforts. There are a couple of topics that may be helpful to consider for focusing this evaluation, that I (RDML Gallaudet) have personally witnessed as having redundancies in my time at NOAA, including (but not limited to) HABs, aquaculture (e.g., oyster pathogens), and water quality. This is by no means intended to avoid reviewing NOAA's resiliency, sea level rise or climate efforts and the SAB may decide that those topics are ripe for inclusion in this evaluation. By identifying redundancies and/or gaps and making recommendations that SAB can help NOAA to be more efficient and effective in achieving our Blue Economy priority. It seems that this revision is a first step needed before moving into the evaluation described in the current text of Topic 7.”

Champion: TBD

Topic 8: *Coastal and Marine Transportation and Support Infrastructure*

U.S. ports and harbors support all sectors of the marine economy. NOAA's role in supporting coastal and marine commerce is spread across the agency from weather forecast and hydrographic survey to sea-level rise projections and fisheries management, among others, with ports and harbors being an area where many of these interests intersect. The SAB will address such questions as “How can emerging technology be used by NOAA to integrate information to address immediate needs such as navigation and emergency response, as well as long-term

planning for coastal communities that enables continued economic development in the face of global change?”, and “How can we better project future infrastructure and port access needs of the marine transportation in the context of the fisheries and aquaculture industries?”

SAB Champion: Denise Reed

Proposed Topic 9: *New Technologies for Fisheries Stock Assessments*

Decision on and method for this evaluation will be discussed during the SAB July 17-18, 2018 meeting.

Detail provided to the SAB by RDML Gallaudet who proposed the work topic:

“Evaluate fisheries monitoring technologies to improve stock assessments. This evaluation should consider how to optimally balance electronic monitoring, eDNA, and other technologies as well as incorporate the results of the UxS and machine learning topics (Topics 3 and 4).”

SAB Champion: TBD

III. Methodology for Priority Two Topics

The SAB expects to address this Priority through a five-step methodology culminating in a concise report and set of science-based recommendations for consideration by NOAA. These steps are as follows:

1. *Project Organization and Scoping:* The SAB assigns a Task Force to prepare a detailed scope of work in consultation with NOAA leadership. In addition to the SAB, this could include members from MAFAC, the National Sea Grant Advisory Board, the Hydrographic Services Review Panel and other entities, as appropriate. The scoping process includes an explicit statement of project goals and objectives, as well as approach, timeline and deliverables. It is expected that the Task Force will be supported by NOAA staff from various line offices (Months 1-2)
2. *Literature and Data Gathering and Analysis:* The Task Force, with the help of NOAA staff, identifies and reviews key recent publications, reports and documents that inform the focus areas. This effort will focus in parallel across the three focus areas. For each, recommendations or key findings of existing studies and reports are compiled to provide context for the work of the SAB. In addition, the Work Group will seek to identify as yet unconsidered cross-walk issues among the focus areas that could be an additional focus of SAB attention. The material reviewed will include, but not be limited to, work previously completed by the SAB and others, including, for example:

- *May 2014: SAB Report on Restoration of Coastal Habitats*
- *August 2016: SAB Issue Paper on “Potential Impact on NOAA of Emerging Genetic Technologies*
- *November 2016: SAB Report on Emerging Technologies for NOAA Ocean Research, Operations and Management in an Ecosystem Context (Ecosystem Sciences and Management Working Group).*
- *December 2012: Marine Fisheries Advisory Committee: Vision 2020 (v2.0) Charting a Vision for Marine Fisheries.*

Review of these papers will be augmented by an in-depth examination of emerging technology (e.g., robotics, ‘omics, advanced and miniaturized sensors, and informatics) that are increasingly critical for NOAA and other agencies operating in the marine environment. These technologies have the potential to enhance efficiencies in the use of large infrastructure assets, increase coverage in time and space, and result in cost-savings. (Months 2-4)

3. *Expert Consultations:* The Task Force convenes up to three expert consultations; these can be “by-invitation” workshops or meetings with groups of experts featuring presentations and facilitated discussion focused specifically on the project goals and objectives. These will be focused on the marine aquaculture, healthy ecosystems and future economic resilience, and marine transportation in the context of sustainable coastal economy topics. The first goals will be to address a shared understanding of the state of the science and the identification of unmet needs. The second goal will be to develop science-based advice drawn from the outcomes of the first goal. Outcomes will be incorporated into the project final report and associated advice/recommendations to NOAA. (Months 5-8)

4. *Report Development and Review:* Outcomes of the literature review, data/information analysis and expert workshops will be incorporated into a draft report and series of science-based recommendations. A draft report outline (with estimated length of the various sections) will be shared with the SAB in the early stages of the project (and refined on an ongoing basis) in the interest of ensuring that all parties have a shared understanding of content. An initial draft will be shared with the SAB for review and comment. (Months 7-10)

5. *Report Refinement and Presentation:* Comments received from the SAB (and other parties, as appropriate) will be considered/incorporated as the draft report is finalized. It will then be presented to the SAB and NOAA leadership, with an emphasis on science-based advice and recommendations. Toward that end, the report will include a concise Executive Summary that highlights advice and recommendations. (Months 10-12)

IV. Timeline:

The project will be completed in a 12 month time frame, as noted in the preceding section.