

Background

- SAB Concept of Operations indicates a review every two years
- Last review completed 2017

Current Review Status

- Initial discussion December 2021
- Agreement by SAB on review process and notional timeline -April 2022
- Meeting with WG Co-Chairs June 28, 2022



Review Process Approved

- SAB Office gathers data on WGs meetings, reports, membership
- SAB liaisons, NOAA liaisons, WG Co-Chairs for each WG meet to review gathered data and Terms of Reference
- Discussion and draft of report with recommendations
- Each full WG reviews draft report
- Reports reported to the SAB by SAB liaisons at a public meeting
- Review reports transmitted to NOAA for any necessary action



Working Group Comments

- This should not be a "check the box" exercise
- 2-4 years is too frequent; consider a longer timeframe (last done 2017)
- Reviews should address needed updates to Terms of Reference
- Beyond that, reviews should be forward-looking (noting that a "backward" review and one that looks ahead at SAB needs and how the WGs can serve are different)
- NOAA and SAB members must be strong participants
- Avoid a review structure that has the WGs reviewing themselves
- Both the frequency of and participation of the WGs in the review add to WG workload
- "I see value in feedback from NOAA liaisons on effectiveness we want to ensure our work has impact"



For SAB Consideration

- Initiate the review with process approved
- Consider the WG comments and restructure the review
- Consider a new timeline initiate Fall 2022, move out to 2023, other options.